

MR2863-12  
S.N. 09/777,828  
Amendment dated 26 August 2003  
Reply to Office Action of 29 May 2003

### **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the Official Action dated 29 May 2003. Responsive to the rejections made in the Official Action, Claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the subject matter formerly defined in Claim 5 therein, and Claim 5 has been cancelled by this Amendment.

In the Official Action, the Examiner rejected Claims 1, 3, 4 and 6 under 35 U.S.C. § 103, as being unpatentable over Charlier, U.S. Patent #5,153,590, in view of Danish, et al., U.S. Patent #4,567,469. Claim 2 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103, as being unpatentable over Charlier, Danish, et al., and further in view of Niot, U.S. Patent #5,831,556. However, the Examiner kindly indicated that Claim 5 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitation of the base Claim and any intervening CLAIMS.

Claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the subject matter formerly defined in Claim 5 therein. Therefore, Claim 5 has been effectively rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base Claim, Claim 1, and any intervening Claims, which there were none. Thus, Claim 1 should now be allowable. Further, the Claims dependent on Claim 1 should also be allowable for at least the same reasons.

MR2863-12  
S.N. 09/777,828  
Amendment dated 26 August 2003  
Reply to Office Action of 29 May 2003

It is now believed that the subject Patent Application has been placed in condition for allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,  
For: ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE



David I. Klein  
Registration #33,253

Dated: 26 Aug. 2003

Suite 101  
3458 Ellicott Center Drive  
Ellicott City, MD 21043  
(410) 465-6678

DIK/ds

